Thank you for these notes.

Response to AC2

-Introduction 1: What made you choose cellulose paper?

Done, Page 2 Line 46 to 50.

-Introduction 2: Objective of the research is lacking.

Done, Page 2, Line 51 to 54.

- Introduction 3: The novelty of the paper should be explained and added.

Done, Page 2, line 54. Some new types of bacteria

-Materials and methods1: Why was this water chosen as a model water?

Done, Page 2, Line 58 t0 59.

-Materials and methods 2: How were the bacteriological analysis done?

Mentioned, Page 4,Line 88 to 96.

-Materials and methods 2: How were the colonies counted?

Done, Page 4, Line 96 to 97.

-Materials and methods 3: origin of the cellulose paper should be stated.

Done, Page 5, line 64.

-Materials and methods 4: why were ratios 2:1 and 10:1 chosen?

Done, Page 4, lines 66 and 67.

-Materials and methods 5: Figure 1 – should be repeated with different background/ preferably white background.

These papers were taken during the study and there is no possibility of repeating them.

-Results 1: Reference on turbidity removal with only cellulose paper should be measured.

It's measured with only cellulose paper already.

-Results 2: Minimum Inhibitory concentration should be mentioned and defined.

Done, Page 8, lines 137 and 138.

-Results 3: It is difficult to make very clear conclusions if Figures 3 and 4 are compared.

This concern the silver content concentration, we can conclude the 100% inactivation can be reached with less silver content in 10:1 ratio.

-Conclusion 1; "AgNPs papers can be used a good point of use filters" – This is strong conclusion since it was not compared to other technologies.

This conclusion was not mentioned as a comparison with other technologies it was based on the results abstained from this study.

- Abbreviations are not correct and should be corrected.

Done

-References Suggestion is to use recent references.

These are the most recent references concerning this study.

Response to AC4

- Section 2.5, microbial testing: Please describe how the testing of the paper was done?

The microbial was conducted for water samples not for AgNPs papers.

- Section 3, Results and discussion: Placing the study results in wider context remains missing.

Done