

Interactive comment on “A case study of rainfall-derived infiltration and inflow on a separate sanitary sewer system” by Nelson J. G. Carriço et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 18 April 2017

The paper by Carrico et al. presents the application of a standard methodology for assessing the rainfall-derived infiltration and inflow (RDII) using a case study in Portugal. Although the manuscript gathers some information on the analysis of the RDII, the literature review is very limited, and the terminology used is very often confusing (e.g. I am not sure what “undue connections” term refers to). However, my main comment and concern is the novelty of the presented work. I do not see any contribution to knowledge in the paper, the authors do not give any information on why the study is unique, what are the novel aspects of the methodology presented, nor what are the main novel insights gained from the study. As such, unfortunately I find that the manuscript is not suitable for publication in a scientific journal.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)

