

Interactive comment on “A case study of rainfall-derived infiltration and inflow on a separate sanitary sewer system” by Nelson J. G. Carriço et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 29 March 2017

The paper deals with a model based analysis of a small wastewater (sewer) system in Lisbon, combined with a set of indicators.

There are several weaknesses:

1. The selection of the system to study was not justified and it appeared that the results did not comply with the ambitions of the paper, due to system limitations and lack of data. This may be a common situation, but is not discussed.
2. The selection of indicators is not justified, it leans to one single research only
3. There are no general discussion of the results, except a few sentences in the conclusion

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



The paper appears as a preliminary report from a consultancy. The scientific dimension is not clear and neither discussed or justified. Some references in the opening chapter seems a little randomly picked, without a substantial discussion of the relevance within the context.

Interactive comment on *Drink. Water Eng. Sci. Discuss.*, doi:10.5194/dwes-2017-9, 2017.

DWESD

Interactive
comment

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)

