Drink. Water Eng. Sci. Discuss., 5, 533–553, 2012 www.drink-water-eng-sci-discuss.net/5/533/2012/ doi:10.5194/dwesd-5-533-2012 © Author(s) 2012. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Drinking Water Engineering and Science (DWES). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in DWES if available.

Method of evaluation of efficiency improvement potential for water supply systems with focus on variable speed centrifugal pumps

D. Pilscikovs and E. Dzelzitis

Institute of Heat, Gas and Water Technology, Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia

Received: 1 October 2012 - Accepted: 12 October 2012 - Published: 6 November 2012

Correspondence to: D. Pilscikovs (denpil@inbox.lv)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the Delft University of Technology.



Abstract

The goal of this research is the derivation of the method for evaluation of efficiency improvement potential for public water supply systems with a focus on centrifugal network pumps. The efficiency of proportional pressure control usage has been analyzed

- for variable speed pumps. It has been done if proportional pressure control is used in comparison with constant pressure control mode. For this reason, energy calculation analyses have been realized for variable speed centrifugal pumps, and the theoretical tool of estimation of the efficiency improvement potential for public water supply systems has been derived. The conclusions are as follows: (1) it has been found that
- 10 1110 MWh of annually consumed electrical energy can be saved up, if the control mode of variable speed network pumps will be changed from constant pressure to proportional pressure control mode with the deviation of 20% from head value of duty point at zero flow; (2) about 13 MWh of annually consumed electrical energy can be saved up, if the proportional pressure control mode with the deviation of 15% will be changed
- to the deviation of 20 %; (3) totally about 1123 MWh or 1.12 GWh (14 % of the annually consumed electrical energy by variable speed network pumps) can be saved up in small public water supply systems in Latvia.

1 Introduction

20

About 10% of the total electrical energy produced in the world has been consumed by pumps and pumping systems and more than half of that can be saved up (Giribone et al., 2006).

It is very important to determine the space for improvement for public water supply systems with a focus on variable speed centrifugal pumps.

Approximately 332 700 m³ (LBN 222-99, 2000) of drinking water is daily produced at all public water supply systems in Latvia. About 141 000 m³ (LBN 222-99, 2000) of drinking water is daily produced at small cities (up to 10 000 inh.). It has been assumed





that about 8 GWh of annually consumed electrical energy comes to network pumping systems for distribution of drinking water in public water supply systems in small cities.

Variable speed centrifugal pumps in public water supply system are normally controlled via constant pressure (Palgrave, 2003; Lobanoff et al., 1992). The proportional

5 pressure control mode is the most efficient mode of the control for booster pumps in public water supply systems.

The closer proportional pressure control curve is to the system curve, the higher level of efficiency can be obtained. In order to make an evaluation of the efficiency improvement potential for public water supply systems the proportional pressure control has been compared with the constant pressure control mode.

10

The proportional pressure control is generally recommended to be used in the systems where the total pressure drop is mostly dedicated to the piping system (1). Thus the proportional pressure control is advisable to use in water supply systems with relatively long piping network.

¹⁵ The usage of the proportional pressure control mode for variable speed centrifugal pumps in public water supply systems in small cities (up to 10000 inh.) in Latvia is shown in Fig. 1.

It is important to evaluate the energy improvement potential of 95 % of booster pumps in small public water supply systems. And it is possible to estimate the saving potential

if the deviation from head value of duty point at zero flow will vary from 15 % up to 20 %. It has been assumed that 80 % of the total head value is related to static head in the systems.

With focus on the evaluation of pumps' operation, it is possible to increase the efficiency of public water supply networks, thus contributing to energy saving.



2 Development of the method of evaluation of efficiency improvement potential for public water supply systems with focus on variable speed centrifugal pump

During the development of the method for evaluation of efficiency improvement poten-

⁵ tial for public water supply systems the proportional pressure control mode has been compared to the usage of constant pressure control mode.

In order to analyze the consumption of electrical energy, if proportional pressure control mode with the deviations from head value of duty point at zero flow is used, as it is seen from Fig. 2, the load profile of pumping system of public water supply system should be taken into account.

It has been assumed that annual operation of pumping system in public water supply systems is 3285 h (ButK Consortium, 2007) and the load profile is divided into five parts with the flow values: 100 %, 75 %, 55 %, 35 % and 12 % of flow rate in duty point as it is shown in Table 1. Each flow rate corresponds to certain duration of operational time as a part of the total duration of operation per year.

Each flow component corresponds to certain duration of operational time (Fig. 3):

- $-100\% \rightarrow 5\%;$
- $-75\% \rightarrow 9\%;$
- $-55\% \rightarrow 14\%;$
- $_{20}$ 35% \rightarrow 27%;

10

15

- $12\% \rightarrow 45\%$.

The energy consumption has been analyzed if proportional pressure control mode comes as alternative to constant pressure control mode for the same system.

Equations (1–6) show the relationships among rotational speed, flow rate, head, power and efficiency values (Vilner et al., 1976; Frenkel, 1956; Roberson et al., 1997;





Bernier et al., 1999) when variable speed drive is used for centrifugal pumps.

$$\frac{Q_n}{Q_x} = \frac{n_n}{n_x} \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{H_n}{H_x} = \left(\frac{n_n}{n_x}\right)^2 \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{P_n}{P_x} = \left(\frac{n_n}{n_x}\right)^3 \tag{3}$$

$$5 \quad \frac{\eta_n}{\eta_x} = 1 \tag{4}$$

$$\eta_{\text{TOT}} = \eta_{\text{P}} \cdot \eta_{\text{M}} \cdot \eta_{\text{FC}} \tag{5}$$

$$\eta = \frac{P_H}{P_1} = \frac{\rho \cdot g \cdot Q \cdot H}{P_1} \tag{6}$$

The Table 1 shows the change of head values at the flow divisions at the deviations within the range of 0–80 % from head value of duty point at zero flow (Grundfos Management, 2010).

During the analysis of the proportional pressure control mode with the deviations of 20%, 40%, 60% and 80% from head value of duty point at zero flow there has been carried out the calculation of annual energy consumption for centrifugal pumps (Fig. 4). It is found, that the regression Eq. (7) of the linear trend type ($y = a_0 + a_1 \cdot x + \varepsilon$) can evaluate the efficiency improvement potential for public water supply systems with variable speed centrifugal pump, if proportional pressure control is used.

 $y = (0.63 \pm 0.02) \cdot x + 0.02 \pm 0.01$

15

The Eq. (7) can be used as a tool for evaluation of the potential reduction of energy consumption, if proportional pressure control is used with the deviations within the range of 0–80%. The potential reduction of the energy consumption is estimated in

DWESD

5, 533–553, 2012

Evaluation of pumps' operation in water supply

Discussion

Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

(7)

538

comparison with the usage of constant pressure control mode, if the value of duty point remains invariable.

There are various limitations which have been taken into consideration during the energy consumption. The limitations are as follows:

- Calculated proportional pressure control mode with linear influence has been chosen;
 - Each duty point is met with appropriate pump;
 - The deviation from pump efficiency optimum is up to 3% for each duty point;
 - The deviation from head value of duty point at zero flow varies from 0 to 80%.
- ¹⁰ During the study the energy analyses of 8 variable speed centrifugal pumps have been realized.
 - 3 Verification of the method of evaluation of efficiency improvement potential for public water supply systems with focus on variable speed centrifugal pump
- ¹⁵ The measurements were done in the public water supply system (second stage boosting station) which supplies water to 2000 inhabitants in the town. The water supply systems is described with the following parameters:
 - Drinking water consumption is about $131400 \text{ m}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$,
 - Daily drinking water consumption is about $360 \text{ m}^3 \text{ day}^{-1}$,
- $_{20}$ Max drinking water consumption per hour is 36 m³ h⁻¹,
 - Head value after boosting station is 3.5 bar $\approx 35\,\text{m},$
 - Water leakage in network is ~ 20 %.



Pressure boosting station is described with following parameters:

- Boosting station consist of 5 pumps (1 drinking water pump, 2 firefighting pumps, 2 stand by pumps),
- All pumps are with built-in frequency converters for variable flow,
- Each pump is with nominal flow/head: $45 \text{ m}^3 \text{ h}^{-1} @ 60 \text{ m}$,
 - Each pump has a motor of $P_2 = 11$ kW,
 - Each pump has a maximum efficiency (BEP) of 64.8%,
 - Pumps are controlled via constant pressure control mode: 35 m after boosting station,
- ¹⁰ The following working parameters have been measured during the analysis of comparison of constant and proportional pressure control modes:
 - Flow value per hour $(m^3 h^{-1})$,
 - Pressure value (bar),
 - Power value (W),
- Electrical energy consumption (kWh).

Each of these parameters used to be measured every 30 min during one month (29 days).

The measurements were done in two steps using monitoring program – each pump control mode has been realized during a month.

Proportional pressure pump control mode was realized at the certain deviation of the head value from the duty point at zero flow: 15%. This value of the deviation was chosen in order to provide a constant pressure level at the distal end-user across the





day-night period. A constant pressure level at the critical end-user is provided at both minimal and maximal flow rates.

Hydraulic friction losses across the whole network are 5 m or 15% from the total head value of the duty point.

Electrical energy consumption (kWh) is shown in Fig. 5, if the deviation is 35.7 % and 64.3 %. The rest 30 m is related to the static head which consist of maximum building height (12 m), topography height (3 m) and pressure value at a tap (15 m).

The measurements of the head, power and energy values are shown in Figs. 5, 6 and 7.

Having realized proportional pressure control mode (if the deviation of head value from the duty point is 15%) – the pump head value is being decreased with the flow decrease.

Using proportional pressure control mode the flow value is varying from $6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$ up to $33 \text{ m}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$, as it is seen in Fig. 8. The measured pressure values after boosting station vary from 2.9 up to 3.5 bar within this flow range.

The pumping station activates "night mode" if the flow value is being decreased less than $6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$. Then the pumps are being stopped (pressure values vary from 2.8 up to 3.4 bar at zero flow).

The real measured saving potential of electrical energy is 10.9%, if constant pres-²⁰ sure and proportional pressure control modes are compared (proportional pressure with the deviation of 15%).

By applying the evaluation tool (evaluation method of savings' potential if proportional pressure control mode is applied for boosting pumps in comparison with constant pressure control mode) the calculated saving potential of electrical energy is 11.5%.

 $_{25}$ $y = 0.63 \cdot x + 0.02 = 0.63 \cdot 0.15 + 0.02 \approx 11.5\%.$

15

Accuracy within 9.3% is performed if the theoretical evaluation tool has been compared with on the field measured data at district heating system.



4 Conclusions

10

8 GWh of annually consumed electrical energy comes to variable speed centrifugal pumps for distribution of drinking water in public water supply systems in small cities (up to 10 000 inh.). About 95% of network pumps are operating via constant pressure control mode. About 5% of network pumps are operating via proportional pressure control mode and the average deviation from head value of duty point at zero flow is 15% (can be achieved 20%).

- 1. In this research it has been found that 1110 MWh of annually consumed electrical energy can be saved up, if the control mode of variable speed network pumps will be changed from constant pressure to proportional pressure control mode with the deviation of 20 % from head value of duty point at zero flow.
- 2. 13 MWh of annually consumed electrical energy can be saved up, if the proportional pressure control mode with the deviation of 15 % will be changed to the deviation of 20 %.
- Totally about 1123 MWh or 1.12 GWh (14% of the annually consumed electrical energy by variable speed network pumps) can be saved up in small public water supply systems in Latvia.

Discussion Pa	DWESD 5, 533–553, 2012			
per Discussion	Evaluation operation sup D. Pilscik E. Dze	Evaluation of pumps' operation in water supply D. Pilscikovs and E. Dzelzitis		
Paper	Title Page			
-	Abstract	Introduction		
Disc	Conclusions	References		
ussior	Tables	Figures		
ר Pap	14	►I		
θŗ	•	Þ		
_	Back	Close		
iscus	Full Screen / Esc			
sion P	Printer-friendly Version			
aper	Interactive I	Interactive Discussion		
_				

Nomenclature

- g Acceleration of gravity (9.81 m s⁻²)
- H Pump head (m)
- *P*₁ Pump motor input power (kW)
- P_H Power delivered to pumped liquid (kW)
- Q Flow rate (m³ s⁻¹)
- R^2 Coefficient of determination
- *x* Deviation from head value of specific duty point at zero flow (%)
- *y* Reduction of energy consumption if the calculated proportional pressure control with deviations from head value of duty point at zero flow is applied, in comparison with constant differential pressure control mode (%)
- η_{P} Efficiency of pump (%)
- $\eta_{\rm M}$ Efficiency of motor (%)
- $\eta_{\rm FC}$ Efficiency of frequency converter (%)
- η Efficiency of complete pump unit: pump and motor (%)
- η_{TOT} Total efficiency of complete pump unit with frequency converter (%)
- ρ The density of the pumped liquid (kg m⁻³)

References

Astron, K. J. and Wittenmark, B.: Adaptive Control, 2nd Edn., Addison Wesley, Reading, 1995.

⁵ Bernier, M. and Bourret, B.: Pumping energy and variable frequency drives, ASHRAE, 1999. ButK Consortium: Grant Agreement EIE/06/010/SI2.443504: Calculation and reporting of CO₂ emission and savings attributable to lighting, 2007.

Cedar Falls: Pump School, Efficiency and Life-Cycle-Cost Calculation, http://www.pumpschool. com, 1998.

¹⁰ Cherkassky, V. M.: Pumps fans compressors, Mir Publishers, 1980.

Discussion Pa	DWESD 5, 533–553, 2012 Evaluation of pumps' operation in water supply D. Pilscikovs and E. Dzelzitis		
per Discussion			
Paper	Title Page		
_	Abstract	Introduction	
Discu	Conclusions	References	
ssion	Tables	Figures	
Pape	14	۶I	
	•	•	
D.	Back	Close	
scussi	Full Scre	en / Esc	
on Pa	Printer-friendly Version		
iper	Interactive Discussion		



Discussion Paper DWESD 5, 533–553, 2012 **Evaluation of pumps'** operation in water supply **Discussion** Paper D. Pilscikovs and E. Dzelzitis **Discussion** Paper Back **Discussion** Paper Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



- Cuha, D.: The presentation of energy economy in centrifugal pumps and centrifugal pump systems, Hotel Dedeman Istanbul, Turkey, 2005.
- Eco-Conscious Design of Electrical&Electronic Equipment, German Blue Angel Labelling Scheme: Blauer Engel, 2002.
- ⁵ Ertoz, A. O.: Energy efficiency in pumps, 6th national installations engineering and congress, Istanbul, 2003.

Europump: Pump Life Cycle Costs, Brussels, http://www.europump.org, 2001.

Frenkel, N. Z.: Hydraulics, Gosenergizdat, 1956 (original in Russian).

- Giribone, P., Beebe, R., and Hovstadius, G.: System Efficiency (a guide for energy efficient rotodynamic pumping systems), Europump, 2006.
 - Grundfos Management A/S: Grundfos WebCAPS (Computer Aided Product Selection), 2010. Hegberg, R. A.: Converting constant-speed hydraulic pumping systems to variable-speed pumping, ASHRAE, 1991.

Kaya, D. and Canka Kılıc, F.: Energy conservation opportunity in VSD system – a case study, World energy engineering congress proceedings, Texas, USA, 2004.

- Kaya, D. and Gungor, C.: The potential of energy economy in industry I, Eng. Mech., 514, 20–30, 2002.
 - LBN 222-99: Ūdensapgādes ārējie tīkli un būves/Outward water supply networks and buildings, http://www.likumi.lv, 2000.
- Lobanoff, S. and Ross, R.: Centrifugal pumps: design & application, Butterworth-Heinemann, 1992.

Machine Design by engineers for engineers: Pump Controls, Cleveland, http://machinedesign. com, 2002.

Palgrave, R.: Troubleshooting Centrifugal Pumps and their systems, Elsevier Ltd., 2003.

²⁵ POMSAD: Accessible efficiency for centrifugal pumps, no. 10, 2001.

POMSAD: Lifelong cost in pumps: lifelong cost for plants that using pumps, no. 12, 2001 POMSAD: The operation of rotodynamic pumps with different values than their, Turkey, no. 11, 2001.

POMSAD: The pump terms guide, Turkey, no. 2, 1997.

15

- ³⁰ Roberson, J. A., Cassidy, J. J., and Hanif Chaudhry, M.: Hydraulic Engineering, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1997.
 - The Indian Economy (the internet newspaper): Classification Of Towns & Cities In Terms Of Population Size, http://www.theindianeconomy.com, 2012.

The Gemini Building: AEA Energy&Environment (from the AEA group), Appendix 7: Lot 11, 2008.

Trinath, S. and Amitabh, G.: Energy cost savings with centrifugal pumps, World Pumps, 2009. US Department of Energy: Energy Tips – Pumping Systems, Washington, http://www1.eere.

5 energy.gov/industry/, 2005.

Yigit, K. S., Sozbir, N., Sarac, H. I., and Brawn, D. M.: Experimental investigation of optimum centrifugal pumps speeds, Second international conference on pumps and fans, vol. II, Beijing, China, 1995.

Wilo, S. E.: Wilo-Select Online, Water supply pumps: technical parameters, 2009.

10 World Pumps: Energy cost savings with centrifugal pumps, http://www.worldpumps.com, 2009. Vilner, J. M., Kovalev, J. T., and Nekrasov, B. B.: The handbook on hydraulics, hydrocars and hydraulic actuators, Visheyshaya shkola, 1976 (original in Russian).

Discussion Pa	DWESD 5, 533–553, 2012 Evaluation of pumps' operation in water supply D. Pilscikovs and E. Dzelzitis			
iner I Discussion				
Puner		Title Page		
-		Abstract	Introduction	
		Conclusions	References	
Ission		Tables	Figures	
מחגים ב		14	۶I	
Ч г		•		
5		Back	Close	
		Full Screen / Esc		
nion D		Printer-friendly Version		
חססע		Interactive Discussion		



Table 1. Deviations from head value of duty point if proportional pressure control mode is used with linear influence.









Fig. 1. Usage of proportional pressure control mode vs. constant pressure control mode for centrifugal pumps in public water supply systems in Latvia.





Fig. 2. Control modes for booster pumps in public water supply systems (proportional pressure control modes with linear influence).





Fig. 3. Load profile of booster pumps in public water supply system (ButK Consortium, 2007).

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Fig. 4. Reduction of energy consumption, if calculated proportional pressure control is used with the deviations within the range of 0–80 % from head value of duty point at zero flow (in comparison with constant pressure control mode).







Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion







Fig. 7. Energy consumption values (from left: constant pressure control mode; from right: proportional pressure control mode).



BY





