

***Interactive comment on “Flowmeter data
validation and reconstruction methodology to
provide the annual efficiency of a water transport
network: the ATLL case study in Catalonia” by
J. Quevedo et al.***

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 2 April 2013

Hi Joby,

Thank you for asking me to act as a referee of the manuscript mentioned here. I had a quick reading of the paper and my impression is that it is very operational. It is merely an explanation how meter accuracy was measured. Little reference is given to explaining the context of why these calculations are made and what is the meaning of the outcome. E.g. no relation is given of meter inaccuracies to leakage or unknown consumption. Also no reference is made towards annual billing. The method explains that unreliable data recordings are filtered and corrected, but how this correction is

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)



done remains unclear. > Before putting time in reading the paper more carefully, I would like to rise the question if it should be more logical publishing this paper in a professional journal than in a scientific one?

Interactive comment on Drink. Water Eng. Sci. Discuss., 6, 79, 2013.

DWESD

6, C7–C8, 2013

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

