

## ***Interactive comment on “Online data processing for proactive water distribution network operation” by J. Machell et al.***

### **Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 2 December 2013

The papers reads more as a review and to deeply comment on the paper one should further read all cited references. Throughout the paper several different methods are presented and, at the end, their hypothetical use is presented.

As is both the scientific quality and significance of the work are difficult to evaluate. More data needs to be included in the new paper so that it can be read and commented.

Specific comments:

- 1) Page 274 (lines 1-27). Are the data discussed here presented in Machell et al., 2010?
- 2) Page 274 (line 5). Why did you choose the 30 min interval? Several similar remarks could be done and they add to the fact that you cite excessively results obtained in

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



other papers. Similar comments could be done for other sections of the paper.

3) Figure 1. I find difficult to read and interpret 3D plots.

4) Figure 5. Why have the authors chosen to present the data obtained at 10h45?

---

Interactive comment on Drink. Water Eng. Sci. Discuss., 6, 261, 2013.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)

