Drink. Water Eng. Sci. Discuss., 6, C1–C2, 2013 www.drink-water-eng-sci-discuss.net/6/C1/2013/ © Author(s) 2013. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.

Drinking Water Engineering and Science Discussions

Interactive comment on "Technical Note: Wet validation of optical tomography for drinking water discolouration studies" *by* R. Floris et al.

S. Husband (Referee)

s.husband@sheffield.ac.uk

Received and published: 15 March 2013

Accurate title, appropriate figures, suitable references and well written. Whether it can be applied to water systems remains to be resolved, and this will hopefully lead to further work, so wholly appropriate. Purely as a technical note it is a useful and informed article. Only a very few trivial points (nothing significant and would be happy without alterations); In 7 pg2 - if second reason, what's the first? And more correct might be to say 'second most common customer complaint' or similar. In 5 pg3 Full stop after fluid. In 20 pg5 'good' approximation? Is an approximation good? Perhaps acceptable/suitable/reasonable? In1 pg 6 "I" for the lght intensity looks same as division sign e.g dN/dx... In1 pg8 'can be applied' - until tested not definitive; perhaps 'it suggests' or 'it indicates' or 'it may be applied' Fig 4 - can't read any of the numbers in tables, or

C1

see yellow font. Bigger, clearer please. Looking forward to the next stage... Good luck

Interactive comment on Drink. Water Eng. Sci. Discuss., 6, 27, 2013.