

Interactive comment on “Abnormal quality detection and isolation in water distribution networks using simulation models” by F. Nejjari et al.

Dr. Ghazali (Referee)

mghazali@rapidbiodetection.com

Received and published: 18 September 2012

While the approach that has been used in the study is useful in determination of the fault in the distribution network, no discussion or explanation has been presented regarding the location and number of sensors locations in the network. The sensor location is very important parameter in this kind of study, and sensor location and number of sensors should be optimized to provide satisfactory coverage of the network. For example, if figures 2, 3 and 5 were combined, it may reveal that the faulty links were detected in the close proximity to the sensors. As well, it is important to provide the readers with the information about sensors such as their sensitivity, detection level and

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)



false positive rates. There should be some approach to avoid false positives due to sensor error.

Interactive comment on Drink. Water Eng. Sci. Discuss., 5, 435, 2012.

DWESD

5, C183–C184, 2012

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C184

