

Interactive comment on “Low-cost multi-stage filtration enhanced by coagulation-flocculation in upflow gravel filtration” by L. D. Sánchez et al.

A. Araya

jobando@itcr.ac.cr

Received and published: 24 August 2012

Major comments:

The article assesses the operational and design aspects of coagulation and flocculation in upflow gravel filters (CF-UGF) in a multi-stage filtration (MSF) plant. It is encouraging that authors regarding these treatment alternatives, execute at them full scale, as it has more practical value for the greater audiences. The paper is clear in the introduction, methodology and results section.

It is important to acknowledge that Multi-Stage Filtration (MSF) is one of the more promising and reliable water treatment options for small communities. The authors state that the major advantage is the flexibility of the system to operate with and/or

C160

without a coagulant agent, according to the influent turbidity using “CF-UGF”.

I am a professor at Instituto Tecnológico de Costa Rica, one of four state universities in this country. Particularly, in Costa Rica the situation is alarming, with nearly 179,000 people in the country consume water from streams and rivers exposed to pollution, specifically in 273 surface water sources which have not yet implemented any kind of treatment in operation. Additionally, we have many “slow sand filters” operating without pretreatment, despite the fact that we are a country with constant and intense precipitation and therefore, turbidity spikes are common. This causes high contaminant loads and premature “clogging”, forcing close-ups or generating operational failure in the filtration systems. The mistake has also been made of building complex cycle-systems in places where there is no technical and economic capacity to operate them properly.

As an alternative to this situation, (MSF) and CF-UGF are considered promising. Although much has been discussed in Costa Rica that would ideally implement coagulation and flocculation in rural systems, often leaving the initiative by the limited technical capacity of personnel operating the plant and the difficulty or economic limitations about buying chemical inputs. For the case of the community of Colinas de Arroyo Hondo, it was only necessary for 20 % of the time to operate with the coagulant finding the CF-UGF unit represented 7 % of total construction costs and the O&M cost for the use of coagulant represented only 0.3 %, demonstrating the usefulness of this type of improved technologies with the potential to adapt to our local context. Excellent contribution

Minor comments:

The CF-UGF has 4 layers of gravel bed and was designed as a truncated pyramid to facilitate variation in the velocity gradient, producing a variable 15 gradient from the highest to the lowest value, but this lowest value is not particularly clear. See Table 4. The dimensions of CF-UGF are 2m x 2m for 3 ls-1 but the filtration rate that appears

C161

in Table 3 is 3.2 but really gives 2.7?. The same goes with UGF unit, the dimensions are 3.8m x 2.8m for 3 ls-1 but the filtration rate that appears in Table 3 is 0.9, but really gives 1.2?

Interactive comment on Drink. Water Eng. Sci. Discuss., 5, 291, 2012.

C162