Drink. Water Eng. Sci., 6, 33–38, 2013 www.drink-water-eng-sci.net/6/33/2013/doi:10.5194/dwes-6-33-2013 © Author(s) 2013. CC Attribution 3.0 License.

Drinking Water
Engineering and Science

**Open Access** 



# How much are households willing to contribute to the cost recovery of drinking water supply? Results from a household survey

### S. Tarfasa

Department of Economics, Hawassa University, Hawassa, Ethiopia

Correspondence to: S. Tarfasa (gutamajale@gmail.com)

Received: 7 May 2012 – Published in Drink. Water Eng. Sci. Discuss.: 25 June 2012 Revised: 23 February 2013 – Accepted: 25 March 2013 – Published: 24 April 2013

**Abstract.** Financial resources are crucial to improve existing urban drinking water supply in developing countries typically characterized by low cost recovery rates and high and rapidly growing demand for more reliable services. This study examines the willingness to pay for improved urban drinking water supply employing a choice model (CM) in an urban context in Ethiopia, Hawassa, with a household survey of 170 respondents. The design of the choice model allows the estimation of the values of two attributes of urban drinking water service (extra day water delivery per week and safer water). The findings indicate that households are willing to pay up to 60 % extra for improved levels of water supply over and above their current water bill. Especially those households living in the poorest part of the city with the lowest service levels demonstrate that they are willing to pay more despite significant income constraints they are facing. Women value the improvement of water quality most, while a significant effect is found for averting behavior and expenditures. The estimated economic values can be used in policy appraisals of investment decisions.

# 1 Introduction

Financing domestic water supply is important to ensure water access for the urban poor and to broaden livelihood options. Urban drinking water is generally supplied publicly or under regulation. In the world, of every 10 people, 2 lack accesses to safe water supply, 5 have inadequate sanitation, and 9 do not have their wastewater treated to any degree (World Bank, 2004). The low public investment and insufficient tariffs in urban water systems in Ethiopia resulted in a low level of service, rationing and unscheduled disruptions, a long wait of months or even years for customers who want new connections due to lack of pipes and meters among other facilities (World Bank, 2004). In Ethiopia, for instance, 44 % of the financial requirement of the entire water supply program was provided by government and the consumers, and the rest by external support agencies. This reflected the huge gap between the finance needed to maintain and operate the existing water supply system in Ethiopia. It represents a financial burden for the local and central governments which are already

under considerable pressure from other investment activities and the fast growing urban population (Rahamato, 1999).

The main objective of this study is to investigate urban households demand for improved water supply and to identify their willingness to pay in developing countries context, Ethiopia.

Economists typically use two approaches to measure the economic value of the quality and availability of non-market commodities. In the revealed preference (RP) approach the values of non-market environmental goods and services are inferred from other market transaction. Included under this approach are aversion cost, travel cost method and hedonic pricing. The other approach of stated preference (SP) asks individuals hypothetical questions about their willingness to pay. Contingent valuation (CV) and choice modeling are common variants of stated preference. In implementing a CV survey, respondents are presented with questions on whether or not they are willing to pay/be reimbursed for a change of some characteristics of the commodity in question. Choice modeling (CM) is a generalization of the CVM

in that it gives respondents a list of alternative scenarios characterized by several attributes, from which they have to choose (Adamowicz et al., 1998). Among stated preference (SP) methods, the contingent valuation of public programs is the most frequently employed valuation tool in environmental economics (Bateman et al., 2003). A limited number of studies have been conducted to investigate the demand for a domestic water supply service in rural and urban Ethiopia using contingent valuation (see Fissiha, 1997; Dhunfa, 1998; Alebel, 2004; Kinfe and Berhanu, 2007). However, the contingent valuation method is inadequate to value a single attribute<sup>1</sup> of a multi-attribute non market goods and services, such as a domestic water supply service. An appropriate alternative tool is Choice Modeling (Louviere et al., 2000) which allows the investigation of an attribute of a good and also attempts to model the decision process of an individual or a household in a particular hypothetical situation.

The contributions of this study are that it adds to the limited study in this area as this choice modeling is the first study applied to the topic of urban domestic water services in Ethiopia and to inform policy makers on the provision of reasonable urban domestic water supply. In this study, a more advanced stated preference Choice Modeling (e.g. Blamey et al., 1999; Scarpa et al., 2007), is applied where households are asked to choose between different policy scenarios of improved water supply services at different water price levels. In the design of the Choice Modeling, a distinction is made between improved water supply delivery in terms of extra day per week and water quality where there is no boiling of water for anyone at all. The limited number of Choice Modeling conducted in this area in the developed world focused on WTP to avoid water restrictions, for instance due to droughts (Hensher et al., 2006).

# 2 Methodology

### 2.1 Choice modeling

Choice Models rely on the theory of consumer demand, and are based specifically on Lancaster's characteristics theory of value, which assumes that consumers derive satisfaction not consuming the good per se but from the characteristics composing the good (Hanley et al., 2001). Employed in this analysis is the Random Parameter Logit (RPL) model which does not require the assumption of independence from irrelevant alternatives (IIA) and which can also account for unobserved, unconditional heterogeneity in preferences across respondents, unlike the Multinomial Logit model (MNL) that suffers from the IIA assumption and which treats preferences

across respondents as being constant (Hausman and McFadden, 1984).

The random utility function in the random parameter logit (RPL) model is given by:

$$U_{ijt} = V_{ijt} + \varepsilon_{ijt} = Z_{ijt}(\beta + \eta_i) + \varepsilon_{ijt}$$
(1)

where respondent i receives utility U by choosing alternative j from a choice situation t. The utility is decomposed into a deterministic component  $V_{ijt}$  and a stochastic term  $\varepsilon_{ijt}$ . Indirect utility is assumed to be a linear function of the choice attributes  $Z_{ijt}$  (as well as the social, economic and demographic characteristic, if included in the model) and parameter,  $\beta$ , which due to preference heterogeneity may vary between respondents by a random component,  $\eta_i$ . Assuming the error term follows an IID extreme value distribution of type I, the probability of choosing j in each of the choice sets can be derived (Revelt and Train, 1998).

Recent applications of the RPL model have shown that this model is superior to the MNL model in terms of overall fit and welfare estimates (Breffle and Morey, 2000). But, even if unobserved heterogeneity can be accounted for in the RPL model, the model fails to explain the sources of heterogeneity (Boxall et al., 1996). One solution to detecting the sources of heterogeneity while accounting for unobserved heterogeneity could be to include interactions of household characteristics with choice specific attributes in the utility function. When the interaction terms are included, the indirect linear utility function ( $V_{ijt}$ ) that is estimated becomes:

$$V_{ijt} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Z_1 + \beta_2 Z_2 + \dots + \beta_n Z_n + \delta_1 S_1 + \delta_2 S_2 + \dots + \delta_m S_m \quad (2)$$

where n is the number of urban drinking water attributes considered and the vector of utility parameters  $\beta_1$  to  $\beta_n$  are attached to the vector of attributes  $Z_1$  to  $Z_n$ . In this specification, m is the number of respondent specific household characteristics that explain the choice of the improved drinking water, and the vector of coefficients  $\delta_1$  to  $\delta_m$  correspond to the vector of interaction terms S that influence utility.

### 2.2 Economic welfare measurement

The compensating surplus (CS) is a measure of the change in utility arising from a change in a good or service, and in this particular case a change in a domestic water supply service. In this section, the compensating surplus (CS) is calculated following Rolfe et al. (2000) and Bateman et al. (2003). It measures the change in income that would make an individual indifferent between the initial (status quo) and a subsequent situation (improved water supply) assuming the individual has the right to the status quo. This change in income reflects the individual's willingness to pay (WTP) to obtain an improved water supply. Assessment of economic welfare involves an investigation of the difference between the well-being (or utility) achieved by the individual under the status quo (or constant base) alternative and some other alternatives. It is therefore, a matter of considering the marginal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In Lancasterian microeconomic approach (Lancaster, 1966), individuals derive utility from the characteristics, also called attributes, of the goods rather than directly from the goods themselves. The set of attributes used in this study are (1) extra day water supply per week (2) water quality and (3) an increase in the households monthly water bill in Birr.

**Table 1.** An example of choice task.

	Status Quo	Policy Option 1	Policy Option 2
Water Supply(Extra days per week)	0	2	1
Water Quality(dummy: no boiling at all)	For infants	N0	For infants
Increase in monthly water bill	0	Birr 10	Birr 3
I prefer			

value of a change away from the status quo. First, the values of the attributes that are associated with the status quo are substituted into the equation that estimates the indirect utility associated with that option. If socio-economic variables are included in that equation, the values to be substituted are the sample mean (or the individual specific welfare measures can be computed). Note that the monetary attribute is assigned a value of zero for this stage. Next, the values of the attributes that are associated with an alternative allocation of resources are substituted into the equation that relates to the relevant change attribute. The value of the relevant alternative specific constant (ASC) should be included in this calculation. Socio-economic variables are treated the same as for the status quo option and again the monetary attribute is set at zero. The utility associated with the change alternative  $(V_1)$  is then subtracted from the utility associated with the status quo option  $(V_0)$ . If the model is linear (in the monetary attribute) this 'indirect utility difference' is then divided by the negative of the coefficient associated with the monetary attribute

Compensating Surplus = 
$$\frac{-(V_0 - V_1)}{\beta_{\text{Monetary attribute}}}$$
 (3)

A negative value for this surplus estimate would indicate that the respondents are willing to pay the amount of the surplus in order to experience an improvement in their well-being caused by the reallocation of resources from the status quo to the change alternative. By setting up multiple scenarios of alternative resource allocation (by varying the values the levels of attributes can take) and repeating this arithmetic exercise, an array of values associated with the scenarios can be estimated. Note that these results apply only when all attributes enter in a linear fashion (Bennett and Blamey, 2001).

# 2.3 The experimental design

The set of attributes and levels used in this study are: (i) water supply (1, 2, 3 extra day per week), (ii) water quality (dummy: no boiling at all), and (iii) an increase in the households monthly water bill in Birr<sup>2</sup> (3, 5, 10, 15 and 20) (see Table 1).

Paired choice sets were created using the fractional factorial orthogonal design procedure in SPSS, enabling the cap-

ture of main effects plus two-way interactions which produced 12 paired choice sets. A status quo alternative was added in all sets whose inclusion is instrumental to achieving welfare measures that are consistent with demand theory (Louviere et al., 2000; Bateman et al., 2003). Each choice task asked the respondent to hypothetically choose one of the two available options, in addition to the status quo option. If neither of the two options was found satisfactory, the respondent could choose the "opt-out option". After collecting socio-economic data, each respondent was introduced to the type of choice task required. The results of 25 respondents were discarded because of inconsistencies. Thus, a total of  $1740 \ (12 \times 145)$  observations were obtained.

In June of 2010, a household survey was carried out with about 170 households in Hawassa city, about 300km south of the capital city of Ethiopia, Addis Ababa, with a total population of about 160 000 (CSA, 2007). Because no recent statistical information about household characteristics was available, the survey was conducted in three zones identified by Hawassa Water Supply and Sewerage Service (HWSSS) namely, Misrak Wukro, Manaharia and Mahal Piasa. Misrak Wukro is generally considered the poorest part of the city, whereas households in Manaharia and Mahal Piasa are better off. In selecting respondents from each zone, first households with private compounds (i.e., relatively poor households in the study area usually live in houses with no compound) were identified, and secondly from these a household was chosen at random. The third criterion was that respondents were split as evenly as possible between male and female in order to allow for testing of gender related differences. Trained interviewers were used in the survey.

### 3 Results

# 3.1 Sample characteristics

The sample characteristics are shown in Table 2. The average monthly household income is USD 145. Given the average household size of 5.6, this gives a monthly per capita net income of USD 26. A larger proportion of the respondents (63%) were female and respondents are, on average, 34 yr old. Consumption varies between 10 and 800 L per day per household. On average, a household has access of 4 days per week to drinking water supply and pays USD 2.2 per month for their water bill. Most households (58%) also spend a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Birr is Ethiopia's national currency. At the time of the study, 1 Birr was equal to approximately 0.06 USD.

**Table 2.** Sample characteristics.

Variable	Mean	Std.Er	Min	Max
Monthly household income (in Birr)	2388.42	1665	50	8000
Proportion of female respondents	0.633	0.48	0	1
Age	33.917	6	16	80
Family size	5.617	3.25	1	21
Monthly water bill	36.199	31.22	2.0	210.0
Amount of water consumed per household/month	99.158	87.3	10	800
Aversion cost	32.092	57.2	0	252
Water supply per week per household	4.095	1.68	1	7

considerable amount of money every month on substitutes such as bottled water. The result also shows that a household, on average, consumes about  $3000\,L$  (3 m³) per month per household, which is about  $100\,L$  per day per household, according to this study. Given the average family size, per capita water consumption per day is  $18\,L$ , which is far below the world standard WHO (2004) of  $45\,L$  per capita per day.

## 3.2 The random parameter logit model

The results of RPL model are presented in Table 3. All the attribute parameters are highly significant and have the expected signs. The positive sign of the values for all nonmonetary parameters suggests that improvements in all the non-monetary attributes are more likely to bring about a positive utility among individuals. The results also show that the coefficient for the monetary attribute is negative, as expected, implying that households demand less drinking water as its monthly bill increases. The estimated standard deviations are also significant and sizeable, indicating that we have captured unobserved heterogeneity with the random parameter specification (see Eq. 1). The alternative specific constant (combined into one as the experiment was generic) is also positive and statistically significant at 95 per cent, indicating that respondents receive more utility from the improvement than from the current water supply, ceteris paribus. Also, since the experiment was generic, this indicates that factors other than attribute levels affect behavior.

Results of the random parameter logit model with interaction given in Table 4 also show that out of the five sociodemographic variables, four of them were significant. These are: sex of the household head, income, the zone in which the respondents live and households' aversion behavior. The sex of household head being positive and significant implies that women prefer improvement in the domestic water supply compared to male household heads. The positive sign of income indicates that the higher the income of a household the more the household head is willing to pay for the proposed change. Households in the study area, especially those living in the poorest part of the city with the lowest service levels, are willing to pay up to 60 % extra for improved levels of water supply over and above their current water bill. A

**Table 3.** Random parameter logit model.

Variable	Coefficient	Standard error		
ASC	1.162***	0.168		
Water Bill	-0.196***	0.012		
Water Quality	2.933***	0.302		
Water Supply	0.765***	0.091		
Derived standard deviations				
Water quality	2.933***	0.303		
Water Supply	0.765***	0.091		
Log likelihood function	-1198.995			
McFadden Pseudo R <sup>2</sup>	0.390			
Number of respondents	145			

Note: \*\*\* denotes significance at 1 %.

significant effect is found for averting behavior<sup>3</sup> and expenditures. The explanatory power of the model is increased by about 28% as a result of including the socio-demographic characteristics. The models are estimated with a simulated maximum likelihood using Halton draws of 500 replications.

# 3.3 Welfare analysis

As indicated (see Eq. 3), the compensating surplus for the change from the status quo to the new scenario is estimated by calculating the difference between the values of the two scenarios and multiplying by the negative inverse of the coefficient for the monetary attribute (that is the water bill). Assuming that the status quo is water supply per week is 4 days, on average, and boiling for infants only is needed, the mean WTP for three scenarios are presented in Table 5. These three scenarios are: (1) availability of water per week is 5 days and boiling for infants only is needed; (2) availability of water per week is 4 days and boiling is not needed;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>A possible averting behavior is for households in the survey to switch from the existing urban drinking water to bottled water when the quality of the water deteriorates. The cost (expenditure) of bottled water is an estimate of the maximum total willingness to pay for improving urban drinking water quality (Prato, 1998).

**Table 4.** Results of random parameter logit model with interactions.

Mean Parameters	Coefficient	Standard Error
ASC	1.136***	0.185
Water Bill	-0.136***	0.013
Water Quality	1.826***	0.937
Water Supply	0.763***	0.495
Water Quality X Female respondents	0.889**	0.281
ASC X Income	0.0001*	0.0001
Water supply X living in Misrak Wukro	0.296**	0.145
Water quality X aversion costs(Birr/month)	0.011***	0.005
Derived Standard deviation		
Water Quality	2.867***	0.310
Water Supply	0.715***	0.079
Log likelihood function	-1176.864	
McFadden Pseudo R <sup>2</sup>	0.381	
Number of respondents	145	

Note: \*\*\*, \*\*, and \* denote significance at 1 %, 5 %, and 10 %, respectively.

**Table 5.** Mean WTP per month per household in USD.

	With	n Boiling	Withou	ıt Boiling
Water supply per week(in days)	4	5	4	5
WTP	0	0.66	1.12	1.36

(3) availability of water per week is 5 days and boiling is not needed.

It can be seen from the results that as the supply of water increases, the WTP also increases while the quality of the water is kept constant. When boiling for infants only is needed, WTP increases from 0 to USD 0.66 per month as the availability of water increases per week by one day. If the quality of water improves to the point where no boiling at all is needed, households are willing to pay USD 1.36. If it is supposed that, at least all of the households with private connection in the city, roughly 12500, would be willing to pay this amount, then a total of USD 17 000 per month could be generated. The present value of these total benefits could then be compared with the present value of capital costs of this "ideal" option in order to calculate the net benefits. This implies that households prefer an improvement in the urban water service in terms of both the attributes of quality and supply of water. Thus, there is room for policy intervention to improve urban drinking water supply in the study area.

### 4 Conclusions

Choice Modeling can be used to investigate urban households demand for improved drinking water supply in terms of their willingness to pay in developing countries such as Ethiopia. From the results of the model, it can be concluded that households in Hawassa city support an improvement in domestic water service in terms of the attributes supply and water safety. Therefore, in an attempt to improve and expand urban drinking water supply in Hawassa city and similar cities in the country, the attributes can be targeted and additional revenue to minimize the operating and maintenance cost can be generated as the households show a positive willingness to pay for the improvement plan. Moreover, the present value of the total benefits could then be compared with the present value of capital costs of this "ideal" option in order to calculate its net benefits. This economic value can be used in policy and project appraisals of improved drinking water investment decisions.

**Acknowledgements.** I would like to acknowledge Hawassa University Research and Development Directorate for financially supporting this research.

Edited by: L. Rietveld

### References

Adamowicz, W., Boxall, P., Williams, M., and Louviere, J.: Stated preferences approaches to measuring passive use values, Am. J. Agr. Econ., 80, 64–75, 1998.

Alebel, B.: Affordability and Willingness to Pay for Improved Water Supply in Urban Ethiopia: The Case of Adama Regional City, Unpublished M.Sc. Thesis, Addis Ababa University, 2004.

Bateman, I. J., Carson, R. T., Day, B., Hanemann, W. M., Hanley, N., Hett, T., Jones-Lee, M., Loomes, G., Mourato, S., Özdemiroğlu, E., Pearce, D. W., Sugden, R., and Swanson, S.: Guidelines for the Use of Stated Preference Techniques for the Valuation of Preferences for Non-market Goods, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, 2003.

Bennett, J. and Blamey, R.: The Choice Modeling Approach to Environmental Valuation, Edward Elgar publishing, Inc, UK, 93–104, 2001

Blamey, R., Gordon, J., and Chapman, R.: Choice modeling: assessing the environmental values of water supply options, Aust. J. Agr. Resour. Ec., 43, 337–357, 1999.

Boxall, P. C., Adamowicz, W. L., Swait, J., Williams, M., and Louviere, J.: A comparison of stated preference methods for environmental valuation, Ecol. Econ., 18, 243–253, 1996.

Breffle, W. and Morey, E.: Investigating Preference Heterogeneity in Repeated Discrete-Choice Recreation Demand Model of Atlantic Salmon fishing, Marine Resource Economics, 15, 1–20, 2000.

Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia (CSA): Census Tables, Addis Ababa, 2007.

Dhunfa, L.: The Willingness to Pay of Rural Households for Improved Rural water Provision in Ada'a Liban District, Central

- Ethiopia: Unpublished M.Sc thesis, Addis Ababa University, 50–57, 1998.
- Fissiha, A.: Estimating Willingness to Pay for Water: Contingent Valuation Study on Meki Town, Ethiopia, Unpublished M.Sc. thesis, Department of Economics, Addis Ababa University, 55–60, 1997.
- Hanley, N., Mourato, S., and Wright, R.: Choice Modeling Approaches: A Superior Alternative for Environmental Valuation, Journal of Economic Surveys, 15, 435–462, 2001.
- Hausman, J. and McFadden, D.: Specification tests for the multinomial logit model, Econometrica, 52, 1219–1240, 1984.
- Hensher, D. A., Shore, N., and Train, K.: Water Supply Security and Willingness to Pay to Avoid Drought Restrictions, The Economic Record, 82, 56–66, 2006.
- Kinfe, G. and Berhanu, A.: Valuing Water Supply Service Improvements in Addis Ababa, Ethiopian, Journal of Economics, 17, 50–72, 2007.
- Louviere, J., Hensher, D., and Swait, J.: Stated Choice Methods, Analysis and Application in Marketing, Transportation and Environmental Valuation, Cambridge University Press, 2000.

- Rahamato, D.: Forum for Social Studies: Discussion Papers on Ethiopia's water resources and the strategy of water development, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 69–90, 1999.
- Revelt, D. and Train, K.: Mixed logit with repeated choices; house-holds' choice of appliance efficiency level, Review of Economics and Statistics, 53, 647–657, 1998.
- Rolfe, J. C., Bennett, J. W., and Louviere, J. J.: Choice Modelling and its Potential Application to Tropical Rainforest Preservation, Ecol. Econ., 35, 289–302, 2000.
- Scarpa, R., Willis, K. G., and Acutt, M.: Valuing Externalities from Water Supply. Status Quo, Choice Complexity and Individual Random Effects in Panel Kernel Logit Analysis of Choice Experiments, Journal of Environmental Planning and Management, 50, 449–466, 2007.
- World Bank Groups Program for Water Supply and Sanitation report: Water Supply and Sanitation Sector Board, 6–8, 2004.
- World Health Organization: The World Health Organization Report, Geneva: WHO, Switzerland, 2004.